

2018

VOTER GUIDE

CALIFORNIA



CAIR
CALIFORNIA

INCLUDES:
CONGRESSIONAL SCORECARD
STATE SCORECARD
PROPOSITION GUIDE



Yannina Casillas, CAIR-California (CAIR-CA) legislative & government affairs coordinator; **Sameena Usman**, CAIR-San Francisco Bay Area (CAIR-SFBA) government relations coordinator; **Asmaa Ahmed**, CAIR-Greater Los Angeles (CAIR-LA) policy manager; **Mahmoud Zahriya**, CAIR-Sacramento Valley (CAIR-SV) community relations coordinator; and **Mejgan Afshan**, CAIR-San Diego (CAIR-SD) public policy coordinator, compiled this guide.

CAIR-CA would like to thank Eugene W. Fields, CAIR-LA communications manager; Kalin Kipling, CAIR-SV communications manager; and CAIR-SV interns Ammad Rashid, Lina Mokeddem and Anoosh Ali, who provided invaluable support on this project.

Fair Use Notice: This report may contain copyrighted material, the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. It is being made available to advance the understanding of democracy, human rights, political and social justice issues. It is believed that this constitutes a “fair use” of any such copyrighted material. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. § 107, the material in this report is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond “fair use,” you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

2018 CALIFORNIA VOTER GUIDE

The California chapter of the Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR-CA) has compiled three resources to help guide community members as they plan to vote in the November 2018 election. Inside, you will find:

- ★ [Congressional scorecard](#)
- ★ [State scorecard](#)
- ★ [Statewide proposition guide](#)

The scorecards reflect the votes of California representatives from Congress and the state Legislature. The votes of each legislator have been rated positive (+) or negative (-) depending on how closely their votes on relevant legislation corresponded with civil rights and social justice priorities identified by CAIR-CA. The criteria selected for each topic is rooted in legislation introduced and voted on in 2017–2018.

As the amount of legislation introduced in both Congress and the state Legislature is rather expansive, please note that elected officials should not be judged by these criteria alone. This scorecard is intended to provide a general profile to assist voters in better understanding the attitudes of elected officials toward key civil rights issues. CAIR-CA encourages voters to further research the actions of their local legislators before supporting any candidate. CAIR-CA makes no claims as to the favorability of one candidate over another.

At the end of the guide are CAIR-CA's recommendations on statewide ballot propositions. As a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization, CAIR-CA cannot advocate for specific candidates for office, however it can endorse ballot propositions. Please contact your local CAIR-CA office if you have any questions.

CONGRESSIONAL SCORECARD

H. AMDT. 185 TO H.R. 2810

ALSO KNOWN AS FRANKS OF ARIZONA AMENDMENT NO. 13 – STRATEGIC ASSESSMENTS OF THE USE OF VIOLENT OR UNORTHODOX ISLAMIC RELIGIOUS DOCTRINE TO SUPPORT EXTREMIST OR TERRORIST MESSAGING AND JUSTIFICATION.

FAILED TO PASS THE HOUSE ON JULY 14, 2017

CAIR-CA OPPOSED

Amendment No. 13 to H.R. 2810, the 2018 National Defense Authorization Act, would have required the Department of Defense to conduct two strategic assessments, one by governmental persons and the other by nongovernmental persons, on the “Use of Violent or Unorthodox Islam to Support Extremist or Terrorist Messaging.”¹

H.R. 724

STATUE OF LIBERTY VALUES (SOLVE) ACT OF 2017

REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY AND THE COMMITTEES ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS, HOMELAND SECURITY, AND INTELLIGENCE (PERMANENT SELECT).

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

H.R. 724 would have rescinded and defunded President Donald Trump’s first “Muslim Ban” Executive Order 13769, “Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States,” which banned refugees and travelers from seven Muslim-majority nations (Iran, Iraq, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria and Yemen).²

S. 1979

A BILL TO BLOCK THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CERTAIN PRESIDENTIAL ACTIONS THAT RESTRICT INDIVIDUALS FROM CERTAIN COUNTRIES FROM ENTERING THE UNITED STATES.

REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY.

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

S. 1979 would have rescinded and defunded President Donald Trump's first "Muslim Ban" Executive Order.³

Note: *There were several instances of congressional legislation in opposition to the first and second Muslim Bans that were introduced and co-sponsored by many other members of Congress. CAIR-CA chose to highlight the SOLVE Act and S. 1979 because they included the greatest number of co-sponsors.*

H.R. 2841 & S. 1324

DISARM HATE ACT

REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY'S SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME, TERRORISM, HOMELAND SECURITY, AND INVESTIGATIONS; REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY, RESPECTIVELY.

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

Parallel bills H.R. 2841 and S. 1324 would ban gun sales to people who have been convicted of a hate crime or a misdemeanor that was committed out of hatred of a person's race, religion, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity or disability. The Disarm Hate Act would amend the federal criminal code to include those convicted of a misdemeanor hate crime or an enhanced sentence crime that was partially motivated by hate. For an act to fall under this classification, it would need to involve the use, attempted use or the threat of using physical force or a weapon to inflict physical harm on a person based on one of the protected classification identities.⁴

H.R. 1498

END RACIAL PROFILING ACT OF 2017

S. 411

END RACIAL AND RELIGIOUS PROFILING ACT OF 2017 (ERRPA)

REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY'S SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME, TERRORISM, HOMELAND SECURITY, AND INVESTIGATIONS AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE CONSTITUTION AND CIVIL JUSTICE; REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY, RESPECTIVELY.

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

Parallel bills H.R. 1498 and S. 411 would prohibit racial and religious profiling by a federal, state, local or tribal law enforcement agency or agent. They would empower the Department of Justice (DOJ), or an individual injured by racial profiling, to bring a civil action to enforce the prohibition. The bills would require federal law enforcement agencies to maintain policies and procedures to eliminate racial profiling, including training on racial profiling issues, the collection of data and procedures for handling complaints. DOJ would withhold or reduce grant funds from a state or unit of local government that fails to comply.⁵

H.R. 1697 & S. 720

ISRAEL ANTI-BOYCOTT ACT

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED BY THE HOUSE FOREIGN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE ON JUNE 28, 2018; REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS, RESPECTIVELY.

CAIR-CA OPPOSED

Parallel bills H.R. 1697 and S. 720 would criminalize American business owners and individuals supporting any international boycott directed by the United Nations, the European Union or any other international governmental organization that is aimed at Israel's illegal occupation of Palestinian territories with criminal financial penalties.⁶

H.R. 3440 & S. 1615

DREAM ACT OF 2017

REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY'S SUBCOMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION AND BORDER SECURITY AND THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND THE WORKFORCE; REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY, RESPECTIVELY.

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

Parallel bills H.R. 3440 and S. 1615 would provide a pathway for the 800,000 undocumented young people, commonly referred to as “Dreamers” and brought to the United States as children, to become permanent residents and eventually apply for citizenship. The bills would direct the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to cancel the removal of and grant lawful permanent resident status on a conditional basis to Dreamers who: (1) have been continuously physically present in the U.S. for four years preceding this bill’s enactment; (2) were younger than 18 years of age on the initial date of U.S. entry; (3) are not inadmissible on criminal, security, terrorism or other grounds; (4) have not participated in persecution; (5) have not been convicted of specified federal or state offenses; and (6) have fulfilled specified educational requirements.⁷

H. AMDT. 651 TO H.R. 5515

ALSO KNOWN AS ENGEL OF NEW YORK AMENDMENT NO. 43 - MATTERS RELATING TO BURMA

APPROVED BY THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 382-30 AND AMENDED TO THE HOUSE VERSION OF THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT (NDAA) FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019 (H.R.5515). AMENDMENT WAS STRIPPED FROM THE FINAL VERSION OF THE NDAA.

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

H. AMDT. 651 TO H.R. 5515 would authorize financial and visa sanctions against perpetrators of the ethnic cleansing of the Rohingya people by the Burmese military and security forces; limit U.S. military and security assistance to Burma until reforms take place; incentivize reform of the Burmese gemstone sector; and require a determination of what crimes the Burmese military committed, including genocide. The amendment is similar in nature to Rep. Engel’s H.R.5819 - BURMA Act of 2018.⁸

S. 2060

BURMA HUMAN RIGHTS AND FREEDOM ACT OF 2018

AMENDED AND APPROVED BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS. IT CANNOT PROCEED ANY FURTHER BECAUSE OF SENATE LEADER MCCONNELL’S OPPOSITION.

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

S. 2060 contains many similar provisions to H. Amdt. 651 to H.R. 5515. Additionally, the measure would appropriate \$103,695,069 for the 2018 fiscal year for humanitarian assistance for Burma, Bangladesh and the region; appropriate \$27,400,000 for the 2018 fiscal year for reconciliation programs in Burma; and reinstate U.S. import restrictions on jadeite from Burma.⁹

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MEMBER	STATUS	H.R. 724	H.R. 2841	H.R.1498	H.R. 3440	H. AMDT. 651 TO H.R. 5515	H.R. 1697	H. AMDT. 185 TO H.R. 2810
Pete Aguilar (D-31)	ISR	+	+	-	+	+	-	+
Nanette Diaz Barragán (D-44)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
Karen Bass (D-37)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Ami Bera (D-07)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Julia Brownley (D-26)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Ken Calvert (R-42)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Salud O. Carbajal (D-24)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Tony Cárdenas (D-29)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
Judy Chu (D-27)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Paul Cook (R-08)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Lou Correa (D-46)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
Jim Costa (D-16)	ISR	-	-	-	+	+	-	+
Susan A. Davis (D-53)	ISR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Jeff Denham (R-10)	ISR	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Mark DeSaulnier (D-11)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Anna G. Eshoo (D-18)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
John Garamendi (D-03)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Jimmy Gomez (D-34)	ISR	-	-	-	+	+	-	+
Jared Huffman (D-02)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Duncan Hunter (R-50)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Darrell E. Issa (R-49)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Ro Khanna (D-17)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Stephen Knight (R-25)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Doug LaMalfa (R-01)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Barbara Lee (D-13)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Ted Lieu (D-33)	ISR	+	+	-	+	+	-	+
Zoe Lofgren (D-19)	ISR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Alan S. Lowenthal (D-47)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	+	+

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MEMBER	STATUS	H. R. 724	H. R. 2841	H. R. 1498	H. R. 3440	H. A.MDT. 651 TO H. R. 5515	H. R. 1697	H. A.MDT. 185 TO H. R. 2810
Doris O. Matsui (D-06)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Kevin McCarthy (R-23)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
Tom McClintock (R-04)	ISR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jerry McNerney (D-09)	ISR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Grace F. Napolitano (D-32)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	-	NVR
Devin Nunes (R-22)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Jimmy Panetta (D-20)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
Nancy Pelosi (D-12)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Scott H. Peters (D-52)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Dana Rohrabacher (R-48)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
Lucille Roybal-Allard (D-40)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
Edward R. Royce (R-39)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Raul Ruiz (D-36)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Linda T. Sánchez (D-38)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
Adam B. Schiff (D-28)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
Brad Sherman (D-30)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
Jackie Speier (D-14)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Eric Swalwell (D-15)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Mark Takano (D-41)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Mike Thompson (D-05)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Norma J. Torres (D-35)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
David G. Valadao (R-21)	ISR	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Juan Vargas (D-51)	ISR	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
Mimi Walters (R-45)	ISR	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Maxine Waters (D-43)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	+	+

ISR » Incumbent seeking re-election

NER » Not eligible for re-election

N » Not up for re-election

+

» Supporting a bill in line with CAIR's position or opposing a bill that is not

-

» Supporting a bill not in line with CAIR's position or opposing a bill that is

NVR » No Vote Recorded, i.e. Absent or Abstaining

N/A » Member was not in office during vote

Votes reflected are the most recent concurrence and floor votes

U.S. SENATE

MEMBER	STATUS	S. 1979	S. 1324	S. 1615	S. 411	S. 2060	S. 720
Dianne Feinstein (D)	ISR	+	-	+	+	+	+
Kamala D. Harris (D)	N	+	-	+	+	-	+

STATE SCORECARD

AB 2291 (CHIU)

SCHOOL SAFETY: BULLYING

SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR ON SEPTEMBER 18, 2018

★ CAIR-CA CO-SPONSORED

This bill requires local educational agencies to adopt specific new procedures to prevent bullying by December 31, 2019. The bill requires schools operated by a school district or a county office of education, along with charter schools, to annually make available an online training module developed by the California Department of Education (CDE) to all school-site employees who have regular interaction with pupils. The bill requires the CDE to post its online training module and an annually updated list of other available online training modules related to bullying or bullying prevention on its website.¹⁰

SB 31 (LARA)

CALIFORNIA RELIGIOUS FREEDOM ACT

SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR ON OCTOBER 15, 2017

★ CAIR-CA CO-SPONSORED

This bill prohibits a state or local agency from participating in a federal program to create a database on a person's religious beliefs, national origin or ethnicity for law enforcement or immigration purposes. It also prevents state and local law enforcement agencies from collecting information on the religious beliefs, practices or affiliations of an individual except under certain limited circumstances.¹¹

SB 54 (DE LEÓN)

THE CALIFORNIA VALUES ACT

SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR ON OCTOBER 5, 2017

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

This bill ensures that state and local resources are not used to fuel mass deportations and that public schools, state health facilities and courthouses remain safe and accessible to all California residents, regardless of their immigration status.¹²

AB 2184 (CHIU)

IMMIGRANT BUSINESS INCLUSION ACT

SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2018

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

This bill requires cities and counties that license businesses within their respective jurisdictions to accept a California driver's license or identification number, individual taxpayer identification number or municipal identification number in lieu of a Social Security number, if the city or county otherwise requires a Social Security number for the issuance of a business license.¹³

AB 291 (CHIU)

IMMIGRANT TENANT PROTECTION ACT

SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR ON OCTOBER 5, 2017

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

This bill protects immigrant tenants from intimidation and retaliation from landlords. It codifies an existing defense to evictions based on immigration status and grants tenants new protections against unfair litigation practices, including a ban on questions about immigration status in housing-related cases and a prohibition on attorney threats to report tenants to immigration authorities.¹⁴

SB 29 (LARA)

DIGNITY NOT DETENTION

SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR ON OCTOBER 5, 2017

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

This bill prevents local governments and local law enforcement agencies from entering into, renewing or modifying a contract with for-profit companies and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement to detain immigrants. SB 29 also makes for-profit facilities that contract with a local government subject to the California Public Records Act and requires 180 days of public notice, including at least two public hearings, before a local city or county issues a permit to a for-profit detention company to build or expand.¹⁵

AB 1985 (TING)

HATE CRIMES PREVENTION

SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR ON JUNE 13, 2018

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

This bill clarifies that a disability is protected under the law irrespective of whether the disability is temporary, permanent or the result of an individual's advanced age. The bill also requires local law enforcement agencies that update or create a hate crime policy to include the model policy framework developed by the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training and information regarding bias motivation.¹⁶

SB 1421 (SKINNER)

POLICE TRANSPARENCY

SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR ON SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

This bill allows public access to police investigations and reprimands relating to deadly and serious uses of force. SB 1421 requires law enforcement agencies to provide public access to records related to:

1. Discharge of a firearm or use of force that results in death or great bodily injury;
2. Sexual harassment at work, including coercion or exchanging sex for lenience; and
3. Dishonesty in reporting, investigating or prosecuting a crime.

However, disclosure of information can be delayed when there is an open investigation or court proceeding.¹⁷

AB 1870 (REYES)

STOP HARASSMENT AND REPORTING EXTENSION ACT

PASSED THE STATE LEGISLATURE ON AUGUST 29, 2018

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

This bill would extend the time for filing harassment and discrimination claims under California's Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) from one year to three years.¹⁸

AB 2845 (BONTA)

PARDON PANEL REFORM

PASSED THE STATE LEGISLATURE ON AUGUST 30, 2018

CAIR-CA SUPPORTED

This bill would allow individuals to petition the Parole Hearings Board for an expedited review of an application if a petitioner indicates an urgent need for a pardon or commutation, such as an upcoming immigration court hearing. AB 2845 would provide an applicant with notification when their application is received and when a decision is issued. The bill would also ensure that an applicant's certificate of rehabilitation issued by a court be reviewed by the board within one year of receipt of the certificate. Additionally, AB 2845 would make the pardon application available online on the governor's website.¹⁹

CALIFORNIA STATE SENATE

MEMBER	STATUS	AB 2291	SB 31	SB 54	AB 2184	AB 291	SB 29	AB 1985	SB 1421	AB 1870	AB 2845
Benjamin Allen (D-26)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Joel Anderson (R-38)	NER	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Toni G. Atkins (S-39)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Patricia C. Bates (R-36)	ISR	+	+	-	NVR	-	-	+	-	NVR	-
Jim Beall (D-15)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tom Berryhill (R-08)	N	NVR	NVR	NVR	NVR	-	-	+	NVR	NVR	NVR
Steven Bradford (D-35)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Anthony Cannella (R-12)	N	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	NVR	-	NVR
Ling Ling Chang (R-29)	N	+	N/A	N/A	NVR	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	NVR	-
Kevin de León (D-24)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Vanessa Delgado (D-32)	N	+	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	N/A	N/A	NVR	NVR	+
Bill Dodd (D -03)	N	+	+	+	+	NVR	+	+	+	+	+
Jean Fuller (R-16)	N	+	+	-	NVR	-	-	+	-	-	-
Ted Gaines (R-01)	N	+	NVR	NVR	NVR	-	-	+	-	-	-
Cathleen Galgiani (D-05)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	NVR
Steven M. Glazer (D-07)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ed Hernandez (D-22)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Robert M. Hertzberg (D-18)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jerry Hill (D-13)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ben Hueso (D-40)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

CONTINUED »

ISR » Incumbent seeking re-election
 NER » Not eligible for re-election
 N » Not up for re-election

+ » Supporting a bill in line with CAIR's position or opposing a bill that is not
 - » Supporting a bill not in line with CAIR's position or opposing a bill that is
 NVR » No Vote Recorded, i.e. Absent or Abstaining
 N/A » Member was not in office during vote

Votes reflected are the most recent concurrence and floor votes

CALIFORNIA STATE SENATE

MEMBER	STATUS	AB 2291	SB 31	SB 54	AB 2184	AB 291	SB 29	AB 1985	SB 1421	AB 1870	AB 2845
Hannah-Beth Jackson (D-19)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ricardo Lara (D-33)	NER	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Connie M. Leyva (D-20)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mike McGuire (D-02)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Holly J. Mitchell (D-30)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bill Monning (D-17)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
John M. W. Moorlach (R-37)	N	+	NVR	-	NVR	-	-	+	+	-	-
Mike Morrell (R-23)	N	+	NVR	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Janet Nguyen (R-34)	ISR	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	NVR	-
Jim Nielsen (R-04)	ISR	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
Richard Pan (D-06)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Anthony J. Portantino (D-25)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Richard D. Roth (D-31)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NVR	+	+
Nancy Skinner (D-9)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Henry I. Stern (D-27)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jeff Stone (R-28)	ISR	+	+	-	NVR	-	-	+	-	-	-
Andy Vidak (R-14)	ISR	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
Bob Wieckowski (D-10)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Scott D. Wiener (D-11)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Scott Wilk (R-21)	N	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-

CALIFORNIA STATE ASSEMBLY

MEMBER	STATUS	AB 221	SB 31	SB 54	AB 2184	AB 291	SB 29	AB 1985	SB 1421	AB 1870	AB 2845
Dante Acosta (R-38)	ISR	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-
Cecilia M. Aguiar-Curry (D-04)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NVR	+	+
Travis Allen (R-72)	NER	+	+	-	NVR	-	-	NVR	-	NVR	-
Joaquin Arambula (D-31)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Catherine Baker (R-16)	ISR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Marc Berman (D-24)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Frank Bigelow (R-05)	ISR	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Richard Bloom (D-50)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rob Bonta (D-18)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
William P. Brough (R-73)	ISR	+	NVR	-	-	-	-	+	-	NVR	-
Autumn R. Burke (D-62)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Anna M. Caballero (D-30)	NER	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ian C. Calderon (D-57)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wendy Carrillo (D-51)	ISR	+	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	N/A	+	+	+	+
Sabrina Cervantes (D-60)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Edwin Chau (D-49)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rocky J. Chavez (R-76)	NER	+	+	NVR	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
Phillip Chen (R-55)	ISR	+	+	-	NVR	-	-	+	-	+	-
David Chiu (D-17)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

CONTINUED »

ISR » Incumbent seeking re-election

NER » Not eligible for re-election

N » Not up for re-election

+ » Supporting a bill in line with CAIR's position or opposing a bill that is not

- » Supporting a bill not in line with CAIR's position or opposing a bill that is

NVR » No Vote Recorded, i.e. Absent or Abstaining

N/A » Member was not in office during vote

Votes reflected are the most recent concurrence and floor votes

CALIFORNIA STATE ASSEMBLY

MEMBER	STATUS	AB 221	SB 31	SB 54	AB 2184	AB 291	SB 29	AB 1985	SB 1421	AB 1870	AB 2845
Steven S. Choi (R-68)	ISR	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
Kansen Chu (D-25)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ken Cooley (D-08)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Jim Cooper (D-09)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NVR	NVR
Jordan Cunningham (R-35)	ISR	+	+	-	+	-	-	NVR	+	-	-
Brian Dahle (R-01)	ISR	+	+	-	-	-	-	NVR	-	+	-
Tom Daly (D-69)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	NVR	-	+	NVR
Susan Talamantes Eggman (D-13)	ISR	NVR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Heath Flora (R-12)	ISR	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	NVR	-
Vince Fong (R-34)	ISR	+	+	-	NVR	-	-	NVR	-	NVR	-
Jim Frazier (D-11)	ISR	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	NVR	NVR
Laura Friedman (D-43)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jesse Gabriel (D-45)	S	+	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	N/A	N/A	+	+	NVR
James Gallagher (R-03)	ISR	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-
Cristina Garcia (D-58)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Eduardo Garcia (D-56)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	NVR	NVR	+	+	+
Mike A. Gipson (D-64)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Todd Gloria (D-78)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lorena S. Gonzalez Fletcher (D-80)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

CALIFORNIA STATE ASSEMBLY

MEMBER	STATUS	AB 221	SB 31	SB 54	AB 2184	AB 291	SB 29	AB 1985	SB 1421	AB 1870	AB 2845
Adam C. Gray (D-21)	ISR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	NVR	+
Timothy S. Grayson (D-14)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	NVR	+	-	+	+
Matthew Harper (R-74)	ISR	+	-	-	NVR	-	-	+	-	-	-
Chris R. Holden (D-41)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jacqui Irwin (D-44)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Reginald Byron Jones-Sawyer, Sr. (D-59)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ash Kalra (D-27)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sydney Kamlager-Dove (D-54)	ISR	+	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	N/A	+	+	+	+
Kevin Kiley (R-06)	ISR	+	+	-	NVR	NVR	-	+	NVR	-	-
Tom Lackey (R-36)	ISR	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-
Marc Levine (D-10)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Monique Limon (D-37)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Evan Low (D-28)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Brian Maienschein (R-77)	ISR	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Devon J. Mathis (R-26)	ISR	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Chad Mayes (R-42)	ISR	+	+	-	NVR	-	-	+	-	NVR	-
Kevin McCarty (D-07)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jose Medina (D-61)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

CONTINUED »

ISR » Incumbent seeking re-election

NER » Not eligible for re-election

N » Not up for re-election

S » Seeking re-election

+ » Supporting a bill in line with CAIR's position or opposing a bill that is not

- » Supporting a bill not in line with CAIR's position or opposing a bill that is

NVR » No Vote Recorded, i.e. Absent or Abstaining

N/A » Member was not in office during vote

Votes reflected are the most recent concurrence and floor votes

CALIFORNIA STATE ASSEMBLY

MEMBER	STATUS	AB 221	SB 31	SB 54	AB 2184	AB 291	SB 29	AB 1985	SB 1421	AB 1870	AB 2845
Melissa A. Melendez (R-67)	ISR	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Kevin Mullin (D-22)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	NVR	+	+	+
Al Muratsuchi (D-66)	ISR	+	+	NVR	+	+	+	+	NVR	+	+
Adrin Nazarian (D-46)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jay Obernolte (R-33)	ISR	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Patrick O'Donnell (D-70)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Jim Patterson (R-23)	ISR	+	+	-	NVR	-	-	+	-	NVR	-
Bill Quirk (D-20)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sharon Quirk-Silva (D-65)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Anthony Rendon (D-63)	ISR	+	+	+	+	NVR	+	+	+	+	+
Eloise Gomez Reyes (D-47)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Luz M. Rivas (D-39)	S	+	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	N/A	N/A	NVR	+	+
Freddie Rodriguez (D-52)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NVR	+	NVR
Blanca E. Rubio (D-48)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rudy Salas Jr. (D-32)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NVR	+	-
Miguel Santiago (D-53)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Marc Steinorth (R-40)	N	+	+	-	+	NVR	-	NVR	-	NVR	-
Mark Stone (D-29)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tony Thurmond (D-15)	NER	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Philip Y. Ting (D-19)	ISR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

PROPOSITION GUIDE

DEFINITIONS

Bonds on the Ballot in California

In California, the state sells general obligation bonds to investors, who are in effect providing funds to the state that the state will repay to the investors with interest over a period of time. The state repays bondholders through revenue from the General Fund. The California Constitution requires that general obligation bond issues of \$300,000 or more be referred to voters for approval or rejection.²⁰

Legislatively Referred State Statute

A legislatively referred state statute is a statute which appears on a state's ballot as a ballot measure because the state Legislature voted to put it before the voters.²¹

The Ballot Initiative Process

There are four steps required to place an initiative on the ballot.

Step 1: Requires proponents of an initiative to file their proposal with the Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office prepares the language to be used on petitions for the initiative.

Step 2: After the language is prepared, the initiative receives a title and summary. Once the initiative receives a title and summary, the proponents can begin collecting signatures. The secretary of state assigns a signature filing deadline to the initiative.

Step 3: Requires proponents to tell the Secretary of State's Office that at least 25 percent of the required signatures have been collected for the initiative.

Step 4: Requires the proponents to submit the signatures for a ballot initiative or referendum. Failing to complete the four steps will prevent a ballot initiative or referendum from being included in the upcoming election.²²

Initiated State Statute

An initiated state statute, also known as an initiative statute, is a new law that a state adopts via the ballot initiative process. The most common form of initiated state statute is when groups collect signatures to place the measure on the ballot for a vote. For an initiated state statute, a minimum of 365,880 signatures must be collected.²³

Initiated Constitutional Amendment

An initiated constitutional amendment is an amendment to a state's constitution that comes about through the initiative process. For an initiated constitutional amendment to qualify to be placed on the ballot, its proponents must gather 585,407 signatures.²⁴

SUMMARY OF PROPOSITIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Proposition 1	NEUTRAL	Proposition 7	NEUTRAL
Proposition 2	SUPPORT	Proposition 8	NEUTRAL
Proposition 3	NEUTRAL	<i>Proposition 9 removed by CA Supreme Court Decision</i>	
Proposition 4	NEUTRAL	Proposition 10	SUPPORT
Proposition 5	OPPOSE	Proposition 11	NEUTRAL
Proposition 6	OPPOSE	Proposition 12	SUPPORT

PROPOSITION 1
AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND SPECIFIED HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS. (LEGISLATIVELY REFERRED BOND ACT)

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: NEUTRAL

Summary

Proposition 1 would authorize \$4 billion in general obligation bonds for housing-related programs, loans and grants, and projects and housing loans for veterans. According to the Legislative Analyst’s Office, Prop. 1 would create increased state costs to repay bonds, which would average approximately \$170 million annually over the next 35 years.²⁵

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** would be a vote in favor of \$4 billion in general obligation bonds for housing-related programs, loans and grants, and projects and housing loans for veterans.

A **NO** would be a vote against creating \$4 billion in general obligation bonds for housing-related programs, loans and grants, and projects and housing loans for veterans.

PROPOSITION 2

AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND EXISTING HOUSING PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS. (LEGISLATIVELY REFERRED STATE STATUTE)

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: SUPPORT

Summary

Would authorize the state to use revenue from the Millionaire's Tax for \$2 billion in bonds and amend the state Welfare and Institutions Code, including Proposition 63 (2004), to allow the state to use the funds to help prevent homelessness. There is no cost to voters since funds would be coming from the Millionaire's Tax revenue.²⁶

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** would be a vote that supports authorizing the state to use revenue from the Millionaire's Tax, which would amend Proposition 63 (2004) to allow funds to support homelessness prevention housing for persons who need mental health services.

A **NO** would be a vote that opposes the state's use of revenue from the Millionaire's Tax and amending Proposition 63 (2004) to allow funds to support homelessness prevention housing for persons who need mental health services.

PROPOSITION 3

AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND PROJECTS FOR WATER SUPPLY AND QUALITY, WATERSHED, FISH, WATER CONVEYANCE, AND GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY AND STORAGE. (INITIATED STATE STATUTE)

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: NEUTRAL

Summary

Would issue \$8.877 billion in general obligation bonds for water-related infrastructure and environmental projects. According to state fiscal analysis, the bond would generate about \$8.4 billion in interest over a 40-year period, which means it would cost the state a total of \$17.3 billion.²⁷

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** would be a vote in support of the measure authorizing \$8.877 billion in general obligation bonds for water infrastructure; groundwater supplies and storage; surface water storage and dam repairs; watershed and fisheries improvements; habitat protection and restoration; and projects benefitting disadvantaged communities.

A **NO** would be a vote opposing the use of \$8.877 billion in general obligation bonds for water infrastructure; groundwater supplies and storage; surface water storage and dam repairs; watershed and fisheries improvements; habitat protection and restoration; and projects benefitting disadvantaged communities.

PROPOSITION 4

AUTHORIZES BONDS FUNDING CONSTRUCTION AT HOSPITALS PROVIDING CHILDREN'S HEALTH CARE. (INITIATED STATE STATUTE)

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: NEUTRAL

Summary

Would authorize \$1.5 billion in bonds for children's hospitals through the Children's Hospital Bond Act Fund. The fund would award grants to children's hospitals for construction, expansion, renovation and equipment projects. The state estimates that interest on the bond would be \$1.4 billion over 35 years, bringing the total cost to taxpayers to \$2.9 billion.²⁸

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** vote would mean supporting the authorization of \$1.5 billion in bonds for the construction, expansion, renovation and equipping of children's hospitals in California.

A **NO** vote would mean opposing the authorization of \$1.5 billion in bonds for the construction, expansion, renovation and equipping of children's hospitals in California.

PROPOSITION 5

CHANGES REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN PROPERTY OWNERS TO TRANSFER THEIR PROPERTY TAX BASE TO REPLACEMENT PROPERTY. (COMBINED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATE STATUTE)

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: OPPOSE

Summary

Would revise the process for homebuyers who are ages 55 and older or severely disabled to transfer their tax assessments. Proposition 5 would amend Proposition 13 (1978), which would allow homebuyers who are ages 55 and older or severely disabled to transfer the tax-assessed value from their prior home to their new home, no matter the new home's market value, the new home's location in the state or the number of moves. Currently, homebuyers who are ages 55 and older are eligible to transfer their tax assessments from their prior home to their new home if the new home's market value is equal to or less than the prior home's value and only once in their lifetime. According to the Legislative Analyst's Office, schools and local governments each would lose more than \$100 million in annual property taxes early on, growing to about \$1 billion per year.²⁹

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** vote would mean supporting the amendment of Proposition 13 (1978) to allow homebuyers who are ages 55 and older or severely disabled to transfer their tax assessments, with a possible adjustment, from their prior home to their new home, no matter the new home's market value, the new home's location in the state or the buyer's number of moves.

A **NO** vote would mean opposing the amendment of Proposition 13 (1978) to change how tax assessments are transferred between properties for homebuyers who are ages 55 and older or severely disabled.

PROPOSITION 6

ELIMINATES RECENTLY ENACTED ROAD REPAIR AND TRANSPORTATION FUNDING BY REPEALING REVENUES DEDICATED FOR THOSE PURPOSES. REQUIRES THAT ANY MEASURE TO ENACT CERTAIN VEHICLE TAXES AND VEHICLE FEES BE SUBMITTED TO AND APPROVED BY THE ELECTORATE. (INITIATED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT)

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: OPPOSE

Summary

Would repeal 2017's fuel tax and vehicle fee increases and require a public vote on future increases. Currently, to increase a tax in California, a two-thirds vote of each state legislative chamber and the governor's signature is required. Proposition 6 would add voter approval through ballot propositions to the current process to impose, increase or extend fuel taxes or vehicle fees. If passed, any taxes and tax rates on fuel taxes and vehicle fees created after January 1, 2017, would be repealed. Proposition 6 would also rescind the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (RRAA). Proposition 6 would reduce ongoing state revenues of \$5.1 billion that resulted from the elimination of fuel and vehicle taxes passed by the state Legislature in 2017. These revenues primarily paid for highway and road maintenance and repairs, along with transit programs. Prop. 6's voter approval requirement for new or increased fuel and vehicle taxes may result in lower revenues from such taxes.³⁰

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** vote would mean supporting the repeal of fuel tax increases and vehicle fees that were enacted through the RRAA of 2017 and require voter approval, through ballot propositions, for the California Legislature to impose, increase or extend fuel taxes or vehicle fees in the future.

A **NO** vote would oppose the addition of voter approval, through ballot propositions, for the California Legislature to impose, increase or extend fuel taxes or vehicle fees in the future. It would keep the current requirements that allow the state Legislature to impose, increase or extend fuel taxes or vehicle fees through a two-thirds vote of each chamber and without voter approval. In addition, the RRAA would not be repealed.

PROPOSITION 7

**CONFORMS CALIFORNIA DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME TO FEDERAL LAW.
ALLOWS LEGISLATURE TO CHANGE DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME PERIOD.
(LEGISLATIVELY REFERRED STATE STATUTE)**

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: NEUTRAL

Summary

Proposition 7 would allow the California Legislature to establish permanent, year-round daylight saving time (DST) by a two-thirds vote, if the federal Uniform Time Act is changed. This measure currently does not have a direct fiscal effect because changes to DST would depend on future actions by the Legislature and potentially the federal government.³¹

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** vote would mean allowing the California Legislature to establish permanent, year-round DST in California by a two-thirds vote, if federal law is changed to allow for permanent DST.

A **NO** vote would mean opposing the California Legislature establishing permanent, year-round DST in California by a two-thirds vote, if federal law is changed to allow for permanent DST.

PROPOSITION 8

AUTHORIZES STATE REGULATION OF KIDNEY DIALYSIS CLINICS. LIMITS CHANGES FOR PATIENT CARE. (INITIATED STATE STATUTE)

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: **NEUTRAL**

Summary

Would require dialysis clinics to issue refunds for revenue above a certain amount. According to the state Legislative Analyst's Office, the overall annual effect on state and local governments would range from net positive impact in the low tens of millions of dollars to net negative impact in the tens of millions of dollars.³²

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** vote would mean supporting the requirement for dialysis clinics to issue refunds to patients or patients' payers for revenue above 115 percent of the costs of direct patient care and health care improvements.

A **NO** vote would mean opposing the requirement for dialysis clinics to issue refunds to patients or patients' payers for revenue above 115 percent of the costs of direct patient care and health care improvements.

PROPOSITION 9

*Proposition 9 was removed from the ballot by the California Supreme Court.*³³

PROPOSITION 10

EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES. (INITIATED STATE STATUTE)

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: **SUPPORT**

Summary

Would repeal the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act (Costa-Hawkins), allowing local governments to adopt rent control ordinances — regulations that govern how much landlords can charge tenants for renting apartments and houses. According to the state Legislative Analyst’s Office, the potential net reduction in state and local revenue could be tens of millions of dollars per year in the long term. Depending on actions by local communities, revenue losses could be less or considerably more.³⁴

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** vote would mean allowing local governments to adopt rent control, effectively repealing the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act.

A **NO** vote would mean opposing local governments’ ability to adopt rent control, keeping the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act as law.

PROPOSITION 11

REQUIRES PRIVATE-SECTOR EMERGENCY AMBULANCE EMPLOYEES TO REMAIN ON CALL DURING WORK BREAKS. CHANGES OTHER CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT. (INITIATED STATE STATUTE)

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: NEUTRAL

Summary

Would allow ambulance providers to require workers to remain on call during paid breaks. According to the Legislative Analyst’s Office, there is a likely fiscal benefit to local governments with lower costs and higher revenues due to lower net ambulance company costs that could potentially be in the tens of millions of dollars each year.³⁵

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** vote would mean allowing ambulance providers to require workers to remain on call during breaks paid at their regular rate; requiring employers to provide additional training for EMTs and paramedics; and requiring employers to provide EMTs and paramedics with some paid mental health services.

A **NO** vote would mean not allowing ambulance providers to require workers to remain on call during breaks paid at their regular rate; requiring employers to provide additional training for EMTs and paramedics; and requiring employers to provide EMTs and paramedics with some paid mental health services.

PROPOSITION 12

ESTABLISHES NEW STANDARDS FOR CONFINEMENT OF CERTAIN FARM ANIMALS; BANS SALE OF CERTAIN NON-COMPLYING PRODUCTS. (INITIATED STATE STATUTE)

CAIR-CA RECOMMENDATION: SUPPORT

Summary

Prop. 12 would ban the sale of meat and eggs from calves raised for veal, breeding pigs and egg-laying hens confined in areas below a specific number of square feet. The size restrictions based on animal behavior would be repealed and replaced. Beginning in 2021, producers would be required to confine egg-laying hens in cage-free housing systems based on the United Egg Producers' 2017 cage-free guidelines. According to the Legislative Analyst's Office, there may be a potential decrease in state income tax revenues from farm businesses, most likely no more than several million dollars annually. In addition, it will cost the state up to \$10 million annually to enforce the measure.³⁶

What Your Vote Means

A **YES** vote would mean supporting a ban on the sale of meat and eggs from calves raised for veal, breeding pigs and egg-laying hens confined in areas below a specific number of square feet.

A **NO** vote would mean allowing the sale of meat and eggs from calves raised for veal, breeding pigs and egg-laying hens confined in areas below a specific number of square feet.

END NOTES

- 1 “H.Amdt.185 to H.R.2810.” Congress.Gov, www.congress.gov/amendment/115th-congress/house-amendment/185/text. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 2 “H.R.724 - SOLVE Act of 2017.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/724>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 3 “S.1979 - A bill to block the implementation of certain presidential actions that restrict individuals from certain countries from entering the United States.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/senate-bill/1979>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 4 “H.R.2841 - Disarm Hate Act.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/2841/related-bills>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; “S.1324 - Disarm Hate Act.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/senate-bill/1324/related-bills>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 5 “H.R.1498 - End Racial Profiling Act of 2017.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/1498>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; “S.411 - ERRA.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/senate-bill/411/text>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 6 “H.R.1697 - Israel Anti-Boycott Act.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/1697>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; “S.720 - Israel Anti-Boycott Act.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/senate-bill/720>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 7 “H.R.3440 - Dream Act of 2017.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/3440>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; “S.1615 - Dream Act of 2017.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/senate-bill/1615>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 8 “H.Amdt.651 to H.R.5515.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/amendment/115th-congress/house-amendment/651/text>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 9 “S.2060 - Burma Human Rights and Freedom Act of 2018.” Congress.Gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/senate-bill/2060>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 10 “AB-2291 School Safety: bullying. (2017-2018).” California Legislative Information, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB2291. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 11 “SB-31 California Religious Freedom Act: state agencies: disclosure of religious affiliation information. (2017-2018).” California Legislative Information, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB31. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 12 “SB-54 Law enforcement: sharing data. (2017-2018).” California Legislative Information, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB54. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 13 “AB-2184 Business licenses. (2017-2018).” California Legislative Information, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB2184. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 14 “AB-291 Housing: immigration. (2017-2018).” California Legislative Information, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB291. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 15 “SB-29 Law enforcement: immigration. (2017-2018).” California Legislative Information, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB29. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 16 “AB-1985 Hate crimes: law enforcement policies. (2017-2018).” California Legislative Information, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB1985. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 17 “SB-1421 Peace officers: release of records. (2017-2018).” California Legislative Information, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB1421. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; Skinner, Nancy. “Secrecy on California Law Enforcement Records Lifted with State Legislature’s Passage of Senator Nancy Skinner’s SB 1421.” Senator Nancy Skinner: Representing Senate District 9, <https://sd09.senate.ca.gov/news/20180831-secrecy-california-law-enforcement-records-lifted-state-legislature%E2%80%99s-passage-senator>. Accessed on 19 Sept. 2018.
- 18 “AB-1870 Employment discrimination: limitation of actions. (2017-2018).” California Legislative Information, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB1870. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 19 “AB-2845 Criminal procedure: pardons. (2017-2018).” California Legislative Information, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB2845. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 20 “Statewide bond propositions (California).” Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/Statewide_bond_propositions_\(California\)](https://ballotpedia.org/Statewide_bond_propositions_(California)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 21 “Legislatively referred state statute.” Ballotpedia, https://ballotpedia.org/Legislatively_referred_state_statute. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 22 “Ballot initiative process.” Ballotpedia, https://ballotpedia.org/Potential_2018_ballot_measures#Ballot_initiative_process. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.

- 23 "Initiated state statute." Ballotpedia, https://ballotpedia.org/Initiated_state_statute. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 24 "Initiated constitutional amendment." Ballotpedia, https://ballotpedia.org/Initiated_constitutional_amendment. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 25 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures." California Secretary of State, <http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/ballot-measures/qualified-ballot-measures/>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; "California Proposition 1, Housing Programs and Veterans' Loans Bond (2018)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_1_Housing_Programs_and_Veterans%27s_Loans_Bond_\(2018\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_1_Housing_Programs_and_Veterans%27s_Loans_Bond_(2018)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; "Proposition 1." Legislative Analyst's Office, <https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=1&year=2018>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 26 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "California Proposition 2, Use Millionaire's Tax Revenue for Homelessness Prevention Housing Bonds Measure (2018)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_2_Use_Millionaire%27s_Tax_Revenue_for_Homelessness_Prevention_Housing_Bonds_Measure_\(2018\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_2_Use_Millionaire%27s_Tax_Revenue_for_Homelessness_Prevention_Housing_Bonds_Measure_(2018)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 27 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "California Proposition 3, Water Infrastructure and Watershed Conservation Bond Initiative (2018)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_3_Water_Infrastructure_and_Watershed_Conservation_Bond_Initiative_\(2018\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_3_Water_Infrastructure_and_Watershed_Conservation_Bond_Initiative_(2018)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 28 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "California Proposition 4, Children's Hospital Bonds Initiative (2018)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_4_Children%27s_Hospital_Bonds_Initiative_\(2018\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_4_Children%27s_Hospital_Bonds_Initiative_(2018)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; "Proposition 4." Legislative Analyst's Office, <https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=4&year=2018>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 29 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "California Proposition 5, Property Tax Transfer Initiative (2018)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_5_Property_Tax_Transfer_Initiative_\(2018\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_5_Property_Tax_Transfer_Initiative_(2018)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; "California Proposition 13, Tax Limitations Initiative (1978)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_13_Tax_Limitations_Initiative_\(1978\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_13_Tax_Limitations_Initiative_(1978)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.; 41 "Proposition 5." Legislative Analyst's Office, <https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=5&year=2018>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 30 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "California Proposition 6, Voter Approval for Future Gas and Vehicle Taxes and 2017 Tax Repeal Initiative (2018)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_6_Voter_Approval_for_Future_Gas_and_Vehicle_Taxes_and_2017_Tax_Repeal_Initiative_\(2018\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_6_Voter_Approval_for_Future_Gas_and_Vehicle_Taxes_and_2017_Tax_Repeal_Initiative_(2018)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; "Proposition 6." Legislative Analyst's Office, <https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=6&year=2018>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 31 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "California Proposition 7, Permanent Daylight Saving Time Measure (2018)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_7_Permanent_Daylight_Saving_Time_Measure_\(2018\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_7_Permanent_Daylight_Saving_Time_Measure_(2018)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; "Proposition 7." Legislative Analyst's Office, <https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=7&year=2018>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 32 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "Proposition 8." Legislative Analyst's Office, <https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=8&year=2018>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 33 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "California Supreme Court Ruling." California Secretary of State, <https://elections.cdn.sos.ca.gov/ballot-measures/pdf/prop-9-supreme-court-ruling.pdf>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 34 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "California Proposition 10, Local Rent Control Initiative (2018)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_10_Local_Rent_Control_Initiative_\(2018\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_10_Local_Rent_Control_Initiative_(2018)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.; "Proposition 10." Legislative Analyst's Office, <https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=10&year=2018>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 35 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "California Proposition 11, Ambulance Employees Paid On-Call Breaks, Training, and Mental Health Services Initiative (2018)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_11_Ambulance_Employees_Paid_On-Call_Breaks_Training_and_Mental_Health_Services_Initiative_\(2018\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_11_Ambulance_Employees_Paid_On-Call_Breaks_Training_and_Mental_Health_Services_Initiative_(2018)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; "Proposition 11." Legislative Analyst's Office, <https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=11&year=2018>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.
- 36 "Qualified Statewide Ballot Measures"; "California Proposition 12, Farm Animal Confinement Initiative (2018)." Ballotpedia, [https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_12_Farm_Animal_Confinement_Initiative_\(2018\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_12_Farm_Animal_Confinement_Initiative_(2018)). Accessed 19 Sept. 2018; "Proposition 12." Legislative Analyst's Office, <https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=12&year=2018>. Accessed 19 Sept. 2018.

The Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) is the largest American Muslim civil rights and advocacy organization in the United States. CAIR California is the organization's largest and oldest chapter, with offices in the Greater Los Angeles Area, Sacramento and Central Valley, San Diego, and the San Francisco Bay Area.

OUR VISION

To be a leading advocate for justice and mutual understanding.

OUR MISSION

To enhance understanding of Islam, protect civil rights, promote justice and empower American Muslims.

FOR QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS GUIDE OR TO OBTAIN COPIES, CONTACT:

Greater Los Angeles Area
2180 W. Crescent Ave., Suite F
Anaheim, CA 92801
(714) 776-1847
info@losangeles.cair.com

Sacramento Valley
1122 Del Paso Blvd.
Sacramento, CA 95815
(916) 441-6269
info@sacval.cair.com

San Diego
7710 Balboa Ave., Suite 326
San Diego, CA 92111
(858) 278-4547
info@sandiego.cair.com

San Francisco Bay Area
3160 De La Cruz Blvd., Suite 110
Santa Clara, CA 95054
(408) 986-9874
info@sfba.cair.com

